THE USE OF EMPIRICAL ANTIBIOTICS IN THE CRITICAL CARE UNIT
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ABSTRACT
Antimicrobial therapy plays a crucial role in managing infections in critically ill patients, yet its indiscriminate use can lead to adverse outcomes. Here, we propose a systematic approach for guiding empirical antimicrobial therapy in intensive care unit (ICU) patients with suspected infections. Diagnostic evaluation, including imaging, laboratory tests, and physical examination, is essential to assess the necessity of antimicrobial treatment. Despite advances, no gold standard biomarker for differentiating infection from inflammation necessitates thorough diagnostic assessment. Prompt microbiological sampling is crucial for accurate diagnosis and tailored treatment. Emergency initiation of antimicrobial therapy is recommended for conditions like sepsis and septic shock, emphasizing the need for timely intervention. Pathogen profiling and consideration of multidrug resistance risk factors are essential for selecting appropriate empirical therapy. Customized evaluation based on patient characteristics and regional trends is paramount for optimal antibiotic selection. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters should guide dosing to ensure adequate antibacterial levels while minimizing adverse effects. Future research should focus on AI-powered decision-making systems, optimal timelines for starting or postponing therapy, and the environmental impacts of antibiotic use to enhance patient outcomes and reduce antimicrobial resistance.
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INTRODUCTION
Patients who are critically sick often have infections that might progress to sepsis or septic shock. In these cases, prompt and effective antimicrobial therapy is critical (1, 2). Our goal is to offer a systematic method for recommending empirical antimicrobial agents in advance to patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) who may have an infection.

Evaluating the necessity and urgency

The Necessity
Antimicrobial treatment is essential for most infections, but it can be harmful when used excessively. Thus, a thorough diagnostic evaluation is necessary (3). Imaging, laboratory tests, and physical examination are all included in a diagnostic assessment. Interpreting this data is crucial for intensivists, who consider each test individually and incorporate it into the more extensive decision-making process. Multidisciplinary care for severely ill patients may involve ICU doctors, referring physicians, surgeons, microbial scientists, and infectious disease experts (4).

Even after much investigation, no gold standard biomarker can differentiate infection from other inflammatory conditions. Compared to clinical evaluation alone, the 2021 Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines (SSCG) recommend against utilizing procalcitonin for sepsis diagnosis and antibiotic start (5).

Before beginning an antimicrobial regimen, microbiological sampling should be carried out to avoid unduly delaying treatment. This is crucial for diagnosis and enabling specific treatment later on (6).

Blood cultures and samples from potential infection sites must be obtained. (7) Quick molecular and microbiological methods can help with early and more customized antibacterial treatments. A wide range of commercial tests are available, making it possible to identify potentially relevant pathogens more quickly. Newly available clinical data often shows that these tests are more sensitive and specific than conventional culture-based investigations. Prompt and correct interpretation will require in-depth knowledge and competence to ensure the right action is taken. More studies are needed to comprehend the influence of antibiotic use on patient outcomes.

The urgency

Emergency medical care is necessary for conditions like sepsis and septic shock. (8). The SSCG recommends starting antibiotic treatment as soon as possible within one hour of identifying an increased likelihood of sepsis or possible septic shock (9).

The medical evaluation window is prolonged in patients reporting suspected sepsis without shock to reduce the dangers associated with the misuse of antibiotics and to enable a more comprehensive assessment. (9). With close patient monitoring, there is a simple recommendation for postponing antimicrobial therapy in patients who have a low chance of infection and no shock (2). Prior studies suggest that in some highly supervised intensive care units, delaying the initiation of antibiotic medication until the first microbiological results are available might be acceptable for less critically sick patients. (10). This would enable more accurate treatment tailoring. Nevertheless, conclusive results are still elusive. Rapid diagnostic technology is about to transform this field.

Pathogen profiling

When deciding on an empirical therapy, the probable causative pathogen or pathogens must be assessed by identifying their species and susceptibility pattern. (11). This procedure considers the region's ecology, concomitant comorbidities, the infection source, and the possibility of multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms. Significant antimicrobial pressure and barrier-breaching measures cause a high frequency of MDR infections in intensive care units. (12). Hospitalization for five days or more in the previous ninety days, recent antibiotic therapy, and a history of colonization or infection with multidrug-resistant organisms are other conventional risk factors that must be investigated. (13). Empirical antimicrobial selection guidelines based on MDR prevalence thresholds have been
developed. However, the broad ranges of these thresholds confine their uniform application, and they are primarily based on expert judgment utilizing different criteria. It's commonly acknowledged that a lower threshold (5–10%) for eliminating hard-to-treat pathogens applies to people who are more seriously sick.

Figure 1 A systematic approach to the prescription of empirical antibiotic therapy

Choosing a suitable antimicrobial

There isn't a single empirical antibiotic regimen that has been recognized as the best. Again, a customized evaluation is essential, considering the degree of illness, the suspected infection source, immunodeficient condition, and patient-associated MDR risk variables (16). There are many challenges when incorporating regional ecological trends into global principles. Therefore, it is essential to support the formulation of hospital-specific standards to adequately address unique environmental factors and requirements. The selected agent must provide a spectrum encompassing the most likely causing pathogens. (2). Beta-lactams are one of the most often used groups in this scenario (17). When it is determined to be necessary, combining several drugs to encompass a wider variety of species and resistance patterns is considered acceptable (18). More controversial is the application of multiple medicines together to target a single susceptible infection to speed up pathogen clearance or stop resistance from developing (19). The SSCG advises against combination therapy in individuals with documented susceptibilities or those at a lower risk for MDR infection (20). It's important to follow relevant recommendations when certain medications are recommended as adjunctive therapy. Regularly assessing the treatment spectrum and duration is crucial for reducing environmental pressure (3).

Choosing the right empirical antibiotic needs careful evaluation of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters to provide enough antibacterial dosage at the site of infection while minimizing potential adverse effects (21). Accurate dosing, involving quantity, mode, and frequency, is crucial for optimal therapy (22). Sepsis patients typically require higher initial dosages or more frequent dosage intervals due to increased drug distribution and clearance during the first phase, based on the agent employed (23). After reaching a steady state level, adjust the dose based on the patient's clinical situation (e.g., end-organ failure, undergoing extracorporeal procedures) and mode of antimicrobial clearance (18). Therapeutic medication monitoring can help optimize dosing and reduce adverse reactions.

Upcoming Horizons

Diagnosing and determining the underlying microbes causing sepsis is crucial for improving therapy efficacy and reducing antimicrobial exposure. AI-powered decision-making systems that use patient, environment, and microbiological data are a potential field of research. Well-designed studies are needed to define timelines for ICU doctors to start or postpone empirical therapy, assess the effectiveness of combination medicines over single-agent treatments, and determine the best settings for their usage. Studying the environmental effect of antibiotic selection at the patient, hospital, and international levels is essential.
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