INTRODUCTION
The pandemics are frightening for everyone, especially for healthcare workers. The most important aspect of dealing with the pandemic is to protect and save the healthcare workers so that they can treat the rest of the people effectively (Mason et al., 2020). Triage is the forefront of an emergency department of an acute hospital which becomes more fundamental during the pandemics. Keeping in view the COVID-19 prevailing situation, a new Triage system to filter and classify the patient reaching hospital with suspected coronavirus infection was developed, named as “AUDIO-VISUAL TRIAGE” system abbreviated as AVT. The recent alarming situation of coronavirus infection that has spread to 196 countries around the globe and resulted in failure of routine triage of Emergency Department (Habibi et al; 2020).

Visual Triage System
From the beginning of rapid increase in coronavirus cases every hospital in Pakistan placed a screening triage named as visual triage system outside the main entry door. So, a two-stage triage is introduced, first a visual triage (outside at entry door) & a routine triage (inside Emergency Department). But at visual triage, healthcare providers felt scared and threatened due to proximity with the patients and their attendants. As a result, they became reluctant and even some of them refused to perform duties at the visual triage desk.
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Abstract: During the novel coronavirus pandemic, also known as SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19 pandemic, frontline healthcare professionals suffered psychological as well as pathological trauma due to the lack of preparation to cope with this unforeseen situation. The protocols to prevent the spread of this disease proved to be less effective than anticipated. In these circumstances, the improvement of the existing triage system was felt and an AUDIO-VISUAL TRIAGE (AVT) system was introduced to enhance confidence as well as increase the safety of frontline healthcare professionals. The current analysis was performed from March 21, 2020, to April 28, 2020, until the completion of sixty response forms, at Bahria Town International Hospital, Lahore. Thirty participants (Group A) deployed on visual triage and other thirty (Group B) on AVT for screening suspected cases of COVID-19 infection. Anxiety levels were measured by using the GAD-7 scoring system and the participants of both groups were periodically tested for COVID-19 infection by PCR. The independent t - test was used to evaluate the significance of different variables at a confidence level of 95%. The results of the current study revealed the effectiveness of AVT for the screening of COVID-19 patients. There was a statistically significant increase in anxiety levels and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection rate in group A as compared to group B. Almost all participants in group A wanted to shift their place of work or ready to quit the job if they were forced to perform their duties at the same visual triage. An AVT system for COVID-19 screening found to be safer and less stressful than visual triage. It is not only a simple and effective way to prevent the spread of diseases but also boosted the confidence of frontline healthcare professionals to fight against coronavirus spread.
Audio-Visual Triage System
Keeping this in mind, an Audio System was added to visual triage that resulted in an AVT. Protocols of AVT included a medical personal sit on TRIAGE DESK (with glass barrier sheet on it) wearing personal protective equipment (PPE) at a distance of more than 6 feet from the PATIENT DESK. Both desks are connected with Non-touchable MIC SYSTEM for communication (Figures 1a, b). A modified Assessment Form with the scoring system is filled by the triage medical staff member and further management of the patient is based on the score achieved (Sivan et al., 2020). In doubtful cases, to reduce the chance of wrong decision (which can be devastating for the whole hospital), an Emergency Doctor re-assess the case and decides accordingly. Then Pathways for the patient management is developed according to the available facilities of the respective hospital and the instructions of Government. The suspected or confirmed cases are either treated in Isolation wards or referred to respective Centre (designated by The Government) with all the facilities.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Experimental Details
A total of sixty (n=60) participants was recruited to study the effectiveness of AVT System, in the current cross-sectional comparative study. They were further divided into two groups. Participants of group A were performing their duty on visual triage while those of group B were on AVT system for screening the COVID-19 suspected patients. Participants of both groups were provided with the same quality of PPEs. Those participants having a previous psychological illness, frequently used to use the washroom, had non-serious attitude and poor compliance with the protocols of wearing PPEs were excluded from the study. After a week every participant was tested by PCR for COVID-19 infection.

Assessment Questionnaire and Scoring Protocols Details
Questionnaires were distributed among the participants and the response form was collected for further analysis. The study was approved by the ethical review committee of Bahria Town International Hospital, Lahore (31.5204° N; 74.3587° E), Pakistan. For the assessment of anxiety, GAD-7 scoring system was used and outcomes were assessed according to the Spitzer et al., 2006 scoring system. The GAD-7 score is calculated by assigning scores of 0, 1, 2, and 3, to the response categories of 'not at all', 'several days', 'more than half the days', and 'nearly every day', respectively, and adding together the scores for the seven questions. Scores of 5, 10, and 15 are taken as the cut-off points for mild, moderate, and severe anxiety, respectively. Using the threshold score of 10, the GAD-7 has a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 82% for GAD. It is moderately good at screening three other common anxiety disorders - panic disorder (sensitivity 74%, specificity 81%), social anxiety disorder (sensitivity 72%, specificity 80%) and post-traumatic stress disorder (sensitivity 66%, specificity 81%)

Statistical Analyses
Apart from the GAD-7 scoring, another question, related to job satisfaction, was asked. The data were statistically analyzed with the help of independent t-test using SPSS Software (version 22). In addition, the p-values of less than 0.05 remained statistically significant.

Figure 1a (Left), b (Right): Audio-visual triage system
RESULTS
The result of the current study revealed the effectiveness of AVT for the screening of COVID-19 patients. Total of sixty (n=60) participants were allocated equally in two groups. Group A were performing their duties on visual triage with male to female ratio was 21:9 (mean age 28.3 ± 4.01) whereas group B were indulged in screening COVID-19 patients on Audio-Visual Triage (male to female ratio 22:8, mean age=26.56 years) (Table-1). The significantly increased levels of anxiety in group A were found as compared to group B (p=0.025). At the end of the study, there were more COVID-19 PCR positive participants in group A (p=0.001). (Graph-1). Almost all participants in group A want to shift their place or ready to quit the job if they were forced to perform the duties at the same visual triage (p=0.004). (Table-2)

Table 1- Distribution of Demographic and Other Variables Used for The Assessment of The Audio-Visual Triage System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>CONSTRUCT</th>
<th>GROUP A</th>
<th>GROUP B</th>
<th>P-VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>21(70%)</td>
<td>22(73%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEMALE</td>
<td>9(30%)</td>
<td>8(27%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE a</td>
<td>MINIMUM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAXIMUM</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANXIETY LEVELS b</td>
<td>ANXIETY (NO)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>4(13.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANXIETY (YES)</td>
<td>30(100%)</td>
<td>26(86.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MILD ANXIETY</td>
<td>6(20%)</td>
<td>15(50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MODERATE ANXIETY</td>
<td>18(60%)</td>
<td>8(26.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEVER ANXIETY</td>
<td>6(20%)</td>
<td>3(10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 PCR c</td>
<td>POSITIVE</td>
<td>9(30%)</td>
<td>3(10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEGATIVE</td>
<td>21(70%)</td>
<td>27(90%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THINKING TO QUIT JOB</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>29(96.7%)</td>
<td>4(13.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1(3.3%)</td>
<td>26(86.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Minimum and maximum age in years  
b. Anxiety score calculated by GAD-7 scoring system  
c. After seven days each participant was tested for COVID-19 by PCR

Table-2: Outcomes of Different Variables for Assessment of AVT* System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>1GROUP A</th>
<th>2GROUP B</th>
<th>P-VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANXIETY SCORE</td>
<td>3 ± 0.117</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.154</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSITIVE COVID-19 PCR</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.085</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.056</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIRE TO QUIT JOB</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.033</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.063</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Mild Anxiety coded as 1, moderate as 2 and sever as 3  
b. COVID-19 Positive PCR coded as 2 and Negative as 1  
c. Answer NO coded as 1 and YES as 2  
d. Independent t-test to find out the significance of variance  

DISCUSSION
Triage is an important area of an Emergency Department of any acute Hospital. In this process, patients are classified according to the severity of their diseases so that the acutely sick and more serious patients are seen before the less serious

chance of virus transmission in participants form their residence.
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